

PRIMARY PROGRESSIVE APHASIA & APRAXIA OF SPEECH

**AN INTRODUCTION TO
ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT**



Michael de Riesthal PhD CCC-SLP
Kiiya Shibata MS CCC-SLP

DISCLOSURES

Michael de Riesthal PhD CCC-SLP receives a salary from Vanderbilt University Medical Center

Kiiya Shibata receives a stipend from Vanderbilt University

PRIMARY PROGRESSIVE APHASIA

- Aphasia of insidious onset
- Limitations in activities of daily living are attributed to language impairment at onset and throughout the initial stages of disease
- Intact premorbid language function
- Absence of prominent initial behavioral, memory, and visuospatial deficits
- Typical onset is between 55-65 years of age

PRESENTATION TO SPEECH

- Time course of initial presentation is highly variable
 - Some self-refer, with or without an official diagnosis
 - Others present at the recommendation of their neurologist
- During the initial interview, patients may say something like:
 - “I’m forgetting my words.”
 - “I know what I want to say, but I can’t say it.”
 - “I can’t keep up in conversations anymore.”
 - “I need people to repeat things at work a lot.”

PPA SUBTYPES

Semantic
Variant

Logopenic
Variant

Non-fluent/
Agrammatic
Variant

SEMANTIC VARIANT

CLINICAL CRITERIA

Core features (both must be present)


- Impaired confrontation naming
- Impaired single word comprehension

Additional features (at least three must be present)

- Impaired object knowledge
- Surface dyslexia or dysgraphia
- Spared motor-speech
- Spared repetition
- Spared speech production (grammar and motor-speech)

SEMANTIC IMAGING PATTERN

ANTERIOR TEMPORAL LOBE



(Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; Mesulam, 2016)

LOGOPENIC VARIANT

CLINICAL CRITERIA



Core features (both must be present)


- Impaired single word retrieval in spontaneous speech and naming
- Impaired repetition of sentences and phrases

Additional features (at least two must be present)

- Phonologic errors in spontaneous speech and naming
- Spared single-word comprehension and object knowledge
- Spared motor-speech
- Absence of frank agrammatism

LOGOPENIC IMAGING PATTERN

LEFT
POSTERIOR
PERISYLVIAN
OR PARIETAL



(Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; Mesulam, 2016)

NON-FLUENT/ AGRAMMATIC VARIANT

CLINICAL CRITERIA

Core features (at least one must be present)

- Agrammatism in language production
- Apraxia of speech


Additional features (at least two must be present)

- Impaired comprehension of syntactically complex sentences
- Spared single-word comprehension
- Spared object knowledge



NON-FLUENT/ AGRAMMATIC IMAGING PATTERN

LEFT
POSTERIOR
FRONTO-
INSULAR



(Gorno-Tempini et al., 2011; Mesulam, 2016)

PRIMARY PROGRESSIVE AOS (PPAOS)

- Apraxia of speech of insidious onset, initially identified by Duffy (2006)
- Gradual progression
- Absence of non-language cognitive impairments and aphasia for substantial period of time
- Resulting from a degenerative condition involving the left hemisphere

AOS SUBTYPES

AOS Type 1 describes a speech profile dominated by distorted sound substitutions or additions

AOS Type 2 describes a speech profile dominated by syllable segmentation or lengthened intersegment durations (sometimes referred to as scanning speech)

AOS SUBTYPES

AOS Type 1

AOS Type 2

Predominant
agrammatism
(nfvPPA)



Predominant
AOS (nfvPPA)



AOS without
language deficits
(PPAOS)

ASSESSMENT

REASON FOR EVALUATION

Diagnosis
of PPA

Confirm language is primary impact on ADLs/IADLs

Confirm variant

Establish variant

No clear
diagnosis

Symptom onset and timeline

Impact on ADLs/IADLs

Gather information for neurologist

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Rare, unfamiliar diagnosis
- Young age of onset
- Slow progression that patients are acutely aware of
- Involve loved ones in all sessions
- Meet the patient and family where they are
- Setting expectations
- Medical identification card

TEST CORE FEATURES

svPPA

- Impaired confrontation naming **AND**
- Impaired single word comprehension

lvPPA

- Impaired single-word retrieval in spontaneous speech and naming **AND**
- Impaired repetition of sentences and phrases

nvPPA

- Agrammatism **OR**
- Apraxia of speech

TEST REMAINING

ADDITIONAL FEATURES

svPPA

- Impaired object knowledge
- Surface dyslexia or dysgraphia
- Spared repetition
- Spared grammar and motor-speech

lvPPA

- Phonological paraphasias in spontaneous speech and naming
- Spared motor speech
- Spared object knowledge
- No agrammatism

nfvPPA

- Impaired comprehension of syntactically complex sentences
- Spared object knowledge
- Spared single word comprehension

ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST

Discourse sample (word retrieval & agrammatism) **lvPPA/nfvPPA**

Single word comprehension **svPPA**

Confrontation naming **svPPA/lvPPA**

Sentence repetition **lvPPA**

Comprehension of syntactically complex sentences **nfvPPA**

Motor speech **nfvPPA**

Object knowledge **svPPA**

Surface dyslexia or dysgraphia **svPPA**

NONFLUENT VS. LOGOPENIC

- Particularly if agrammatism is not evident, the presence or absence of apraxia of speech (AOS) is critical to the differential diagnosis
- Regardless, AOS +/- will inform your treatment decisions, and must be assessed
- AOS vs phonemic paraphasias can be difficult to differentiate
 - How do yall assess for AOS? What tasks help you the most?

APRAXIA OF SPEECH RATING SCALE (ASRS)

- Strand et al. (2014)
- Perceptual tool for description and diagnosis of AOS
- Based on and developed for individuals with neurodegenerative disease
- Scores features on a 0-4 scale
 - 0= not present
 - 1= detectible but infrequent
 - 2= frequent but not pervasive
 - 3= nearly always evident but not marked in severity
 - 4= nearly always evident and marked in severity

1	AOS - primary distinguishing features^a (no overlap with dysarthria or aphasia). One or more must be present for diagnosis of AOS.	Score (0-4)
1.1	Distorted sound substitutions	
1.2	Distorted sound additions (not including intrusive schwa)	
1.3	Increased sound distortions or distorted sound substitutions with increased utterance length or increased syllable/word articulatory complexity	
1.4	Increased sound distortions or distorted sound substitutions with increased speech rate	
1.5	Inaccurate (off-target in place or manner) speech AMR's (alternating motion rates, as in rapid repetition of "puh puh puh")	
1.6	Reduced words per breath group relative to maximum vowel duration	
2	Distinguishing features unless dysarthria present^b	Score (0-4)
2.1	Syllable segmentation within words > 1 syllable	
2.2	Syllable segmentation across words in phrases/sentences	
2.3	Sound distortions	
2.4	Slow overall speech rate	
2.5	Lengthened vowel &/or consonant segments	
2.6	Lengthened intersegment durations (between sounds, syllables, words, or phrases; possibly filled, including intrusive schwa)	
3	Distinguishing features unless aphasia present^c	Score (0-4)
3.1	Deliberate, slowly sequenced, segmented, &/or distorted (including distorted substitutions) speech SMRs in comparison to speech AMRs	
3.2	Audible or visible articulatory groping; speech initiation difficulty; false starts/restarts	
4	Distinguishing features unless dysarthria &/or aphasia present^d	Score (0-4)
4.1	Sound or syllable repetitions	
4.2	Sound prolongations (beyond lengthened segments)	

SCORE OF 8 OR HIGHER INDICATIVE OF AOS

(Strand et al., 2014)

DISTINGUISHING FEATURES

AOS - primary distinguishing features^a (no overlap with dysarthria or aphasia). One or more must be present for diagnosis of AOS.

Distorted sound substitutions

Distorted sound additions (not including intrusive schwa)

Increased sound distortions or distorted sound substitutions with increased utterance length or increased syllable/word articulatory complexity

Increased sound distortions or distorted sound substitutions with increased speech rate

Inaccurate (off-target in place or manner) speech AMR's (alternating motion rates, as in rapid repetition of "puh puh puh")

Reduced words per breath group relative to maximum vowel duration



**TREATMENT
&
MANAGEMENT**

BASIC PRINCIPLES

- Early intervention when possible
- Formal therapy and home practice facilitate maintenance, especially for trained items
- Errorless learning modes are generally favored
- Generalization is more likely with lvPPA and nfvPPA
- Spared semantic knowledge helps facilitate gains (critical to svPPA)
- Learning is typically highly context-bound for svPPA
- Gains are generally congruent to severity

BASIC COMPONENTS

- Heavy education and counseling
 - Voice or video recordings
 - Written materials
 - Teach/demonstrate back
- Speech binder
 - Introduce a communication book early, for later use as an AAC device as needed
 - Include scripts, target word stimuli, educational materials, and pictures of patient's everyday objects, activities, and people
- Identifying most important targets
 - Family, fun, function
 - Setting up compensatory strategies (AAC and self-cues)

LEXICAL RETRIEVAL TREATMENT (LRT)


- Originally developed for stroke aphasia
- Capitalizes on residual cognitive-linguistic systems
- Employs semantic, phonemic, and orthographic techniques
- Caregivers can be trained to administer LRT
- Comparable outcomes when administered via telehealth vs in-person

Cueing type	Prompt
Semantic self-cue	Prompt description: “Tell me about it.”
Orthographic self-cue	Request written production: “Can you write the word?” Encourage any attempt
Phonemic self-cue	Point to the first letter (written either by the patient or clinician) “Think of the sound this letter makes. Now try to say the word”
Oral reading	If the patient does not produce the word (spoken or written), provide the written word and say, “What does this say?” Have the patient copy the written word three times
Repetition	Request repetition of the target word, after spoken model as needed
Yes/no questions	Ask five yes/no questions regarding the semantic features of the target word
Recall	Ask the patient to recall two semantic features and the spoken or written name of the target word

LEXICAL RETRIEVAL TREATMENT

(Henry et al., 2013; Henry et al., 2019)

HENRY ET AL. (2019)



SCRIPT TRAINING

- Develop scripts
 - Tailor length and complexity to your patient
 - Encourage topics that come up most frequently in a patient's life
- Develop home practice videos for speech entrainment (i.e. video implemented script training for aphasia [VISTA])
 - Record a health speaker's mouth saying each script
 - Record directly on patient's phone (if they have one), or upload a video to a private youtube channel (if they have access)
- Practice in session via the hierarchy described by Henry et al. (2018)
- Note difficult utterances for targeted practice at home and in session
- Comparable outcomes when administered via telehealth vs in-person

Task Hierarchy	Implementation options
Recognize from foils	Ask patient to identify their written script from a field of related scripts.
Order sentences	Next, patients order the sentences of their script. If they make an error, ask them to check their work and then provide the correct order as needed.
Read script aloud	As they read the script, note words and phrases that are challenging, for home practice in isolation.
Produce script in response to questions	Ask patients questions that they can answer with their full script. You can also have them do this with family members, and unfamiliar partners.
Produce script from memory	Request repetition of the target word, after spoken model as needed
Answer questions with scripted sentences outside of the context of the script	Ask the patient questions that they can answer with one sentence from a trained script.

SCRIPT TRAINING

(Henry et al., 2018)


ST EXAMPLE

Examples from Henry et al. (2018)

“Football is a great sport. My favorite NFL team is the Green Bay Packers. My college team is the UW Badgers. I love to watch football all the time.”

“Fly-fishing is a passion of mine for numerous reasons, but mostly for the wonderful places it takes me to. The waters and the ecosystems are inevitably beautiful and interesting. I also enjoy the fact that fly-fishing is so demanding, challenging and totally absorbing. It serves a therapeutic role that releases me from the stresses of everyday life. I often find myself planning a strip to one of the places I love to fish most, including Connecticut, Montana, Alaska, Canada, or Texas.”

HENRY ET AL. (2018)



AAC

Readiness varies patient to patient

Ask family to provide pictures of the patient's everyday objects, activities, and people, if possible

Use these as LRT targets, and compile them in a binder for the patient for later use as an AAC device if needed

Include scripts with visual aids/pictures in the binder, for later use as a communication book as needed

If completing over telehealth, you can use share drives and email to send materials to loved ones to print

Teach loved ones to generate scripts and target words to add to patient's binder




**PROGNOSIS
&
PROGRESSION**

2ND SYNDROME

3RD SYNDROME

PATHOLOGY




EVOLUTION OF CLINICAL SYNDROMES BEGINNING WITH PPA

2ND SYNDROME

3RD SYNDROME


PATHOLOGY



EVOLUTION OF CLINICAL SYNDROMES BEGINNING WITH FTD-BV

(Kertesz et al., 2005)

**SURVIVAL
CURVES
FROM
SYMPTOM
ONSET
ACCORDING
TO FIRST
CLINICAL
SYNDROME**



REFERENCES

Ballard, K. J., Savage, S., Leyton, C. E., Vogel, A. P., Hornberger, M., & Hodges, J. R. (2014). Logopenic and nonfluent variants of primary progressive aphasia are differentiated by acoustic measures of speech production. *PLOS ONE*, 9(2), Article e89864.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089864>

Cadório, I., Lousada, M., Martins, P., & Figueiredo, D. (2017). Generalization and maintenance of treatment gains in primary progressive aphasia (PPA): a systematic review. *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*, 52(5), 543–560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12310>

Dial, H., Hinshelwood, H., Grasso, S., Hubbard, H. I., Gorno-Tempini, M. L., & Henry, M. (2019). Investigating the utility of teletherapy in individuals with primary progressive aphasia. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, Volume 14, 453–471. <https://doi.org/10.2147/cia.s178878>

Duffy, J. R. (2006). Apraxia of speech in degenerative neurologic disease. *Aphasiology*, 20(6), 511–527. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02687030600597358>

Gorno-Tempini, M.L., Hillis, A.E., Weintraub, S., Kertesz, A., Mendez, M., Cappa, S.F., Ogar, J.M., Rohrer, J.D., Black, S., Boeve, B.F., Manes, F., Dronkers, N.F., Vandenberghe, R., Rascovsky, K., Patterson, K., Miller, B.L., Knopman, D.S., Hodges, J.R., Mesulam, M.M., Grossman, M. (2011). Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology*, 76(11), 1006–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821103e6>

Grasso, S. M., Shuster, K. M., & Henry, M. L. (2017). Comparing the effects of clinician and caregiver-administered lexical retrieval training for progressive anomia. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, 29(6), 866–895. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09602011.2017.1339358>

Haley, K. L., Jacks, A., Jarrett, J., Ray, T., Cunningham, K. T., Gorno-Tempini, M. L., & Henry, M. L. (2021). Speech metrics and samples that differentiate between nonfluent/agrammatic and logopenic variants of primary progressive aphasia. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 64(3), 754–775. https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_JSLHR-20-00445

REFERENCES

- Henry, M., Rising, K., DeMarco, A., Miller, B., Gorno-Tempini, M., & Beeson, P. (2013). Examining the value of lexical retrieval treatment in primary progressive aphasia: Two positive cases. *Brain and Language*, *127*(2), 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2013.05.018>
- Henry, M. L., Hubbard, H. I., Grasso, S. M., Mandelli, M. L., Wilson, S. M., Sathishkumar, M. T., Fridriksson, J., Daigle, W., Boxer, A. L., Miller, B. L., & Gorno-Tempini, M. L. (2018). Retraining speech production and fluency in non-fluent/agrammatic primary progressive aphasia. *Brain*, *141*(6), 1799–1814. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy101>
- Henry, M. L., Hubbard, H. I., Grasso, S. M., Dial, H. R., Beeson, P. M., Miller, B. L., & Gorno-Tempini, M. L. (2019). Treatment for Word Retrieval in Semantic and Logopenic Variants of Primary Progressive Aphasia: Immediate and Long-Term Outcomes. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, *62*(8), 2723–2749. https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_jslhr-l-18-0144
- Josephs, K. A., Duffy, J. R., Strand, E. A., Machulda, M. M., Senjem, M. L., Lowe, V. J., Jack, C. R., & Whitwell, J. L. (2013). Syndromes dominated by apraxia of speech show distinct characteristics from agrammatic PPA. *Neurology*, *81*(4), 337–345. <https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0b013e31829c5ed5>
- Jokel, R. (2019). Generalization (but not maintenance) of treatment gains from semantic therapy may differ by primary progressive aphasia variant. *Evidence-Based Communication Assessment and Intervention*, *13*(4), 187–190. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17489539.2019.1666492>
- Kertesz, A., McMonagle, P., Blair, M., Davidson, W., & Munoz, D. G. (2005). The evolution and pathology of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain*, *128*(9), 1996–2005. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh598>
- Mesulam, M. M. (2016). Primary Progressive Aphasia and the Left Hemisphere Language Network. *Dementia and Neurocognitive Disorders*, *15*(4), 93. <https://doi.org/10.12779/dnd.2016.15.4.93>
- Strand, E. A., Duffy, J. R., Clark, H. M., & Josephs, K. (2014). The apraxia of speech rating scale: A tool for diagnosis and description of apraxia of speech. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, *51*, 43–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2014.06.008>